Demolition and New Construction



A <u>hostile takeover</u> is not the only land takeover of interest to new businesses. Suppose great restaurants and nightlife surround one hardware store at the convenient location of a subway station. A high-rise developer wants to tear down the hardware store and build a luxury high-rise.

How much rent should the high-rise developer bid to prevent other high-rise developers from grabbing the same spot?

The hardware store, with a structure value of \$600,000, has no defense against such a <u>treble</u>, but that is not a problem. The high-rise is not a competitor; the hardware store owner will get <u>a 33% premium</u> on their structure and fixtures. They can reopen down the street with a new and spiffy store. The high rise will be a source of additional customers. It is a win for everyone.

The first answer that needs to be determined is the safe rent for the high-rise once it is established. For that, return to the spreadsheet with "Hostile Takeover" selected.

The high-rise is 52 stories with six 2,000 sq. ft. luxury apartments per floor. The first floor includes 8,000 sq. ft. of retail, building offices, a gym, and small apartments for security and maintenance. HVAC, swimming pool, and sun deck are on the roof.

Total floor space is 12,500 sq. ft. per floor. Building costs are \$170 x 52.5 x 12,500 = \$111.6 million. Interest on the new construction is \$5.57 million, and depreciation is \$3.35 million annually. Utilities are $1000 \times 53 \times 12 = 0.63 \times 1000 \times$

Units rent for an average of \$8,000 monthly. The revenue for the rented retail space is \$3.2 million annually. Total annual apartment rental revenue = $$8,000 \times 6 \times 12 \times 51 = 29.38 million . Total revenue with retail = \$32.58 million. Total expenses are \$11.55 million. NEBR = \$21.03 million.

	Avoiding a Hostile Takeov						
	Current NEBR (Net	\$21,030,000	Hostile Takeover	Sunk Structure Co			
	Earnings Before Rent)		O Demolition and New	discounted opportunity cost in any			
	Current Risk of Failure 1% Construction			given year			
	Percent of Profits for Rent	58%		Sunk Structure Cost	\$36,828,000		
	Interest Rate	5%	Caution: Lower into	•	1		
	Existing Structure Value	\$111,600,000	<u> </u>				(
		\$0					
						Profit After Rent, Risk	
		Cost of Failure	Trebled Rent at 58%	Interest on 1-Year	Discounted Risk,	and Advance Rent	Opportunity Cost of
Year	Discounted Profit at 5%	Risk at 1%	net profit	Advance Rent	Rent and Costs	Interest	Negative Balances
0	\$21,030,000						
1	\$20,028,571	\$210,300	\$32,932,980	\$1,646,649	\$32,932,980	(\$12,904,409)	\$12,904,409
2	\$19,074,830	\$418,497	\$26,346,384	\$1,317,319	\$25,091,794	(\$6,016,964)	\$6,016,964
3	\$18,166,505	\$624,612	\$21,077,107	\$1,053,855	\$19,117,558	(\$951,053)	\$951,053
4	\$17,301,433	\$828,666	\$16,861,686	\$843,084	\$14,565,758	\$2,735,675	\$0
5	\$16,477,555	\$1,030,679	\$13,489,349	\$674,467	\$11,097,720	\$5,379,835	\$0
6	\$15,692,910	\$1,230,672	\$12,197,400	\$609,870	\$9,556,982	\$6,135,928	\$0
7	\$14,945,628	\$1,428,666	\$12,197,400	\$609,870	\$9,101,888	\$5,843,741	\$0
8	\$14,233,932	\$1,624,679	\$12,197,400	\$609,870	\$8,668,464	\$5,565,467	\$0
9	\$13,556,126	\$1,818,732	\$12,197,400	\$609,870	\$8,255,680	\$5,300,445	\$0
10	\$12,910,596	\$2,010,845	\$12,197,400	\$609,870	\$7,862,553	\$5,048,043	\$0
11	\$12,295,805	\$2,201,037	\$12,197,400	\$609,870	\$7,488,146	\$4,807,660	\$0
12	\$11,710,291	\$2,389,326	\$12,197,400	\$609,870	\$7,131,567	\$4,578,724	\$0
13	\$11,152,658	\$2,575,733	\$12,197,400	\$609,870	\$6,791,969	\$4,360,689	\$0
14	\$10,621,579	\$2,760,276	\$12,197,400	\$609,870	\$6,468,542	\$4,153,037	\$0
15		\$2,942,973	\$12,197,400	\$609,870	\$6,160,516	\$3,955,274	\$0
16	\$9,634,085	\$3,123,843	\$12,197,400	\$609,870	\$5,867,158	\$3,766,927	\$0
17	\$9,175,319	\$3,302,905	\$12,197,400	\$609,870	\$5,587,769	\$3,587,550	\$0
18	\$8,738,399	\$3,480,176	\$12,197,400	\$609,870	\$5,321,685		\$0
19	1-7- 7	\$3,655,674	\$12,197,400	\$609,870	\$5,068,272	\$3,254,013	\$0
20	\$7,925,986	\$3,829,417	\$12,197,400	\$609,870	\$6,270,192	\$1,655,793	\$0
					Opportunity Cost	Net Profit	
otal	SAFE! Because opportunit	y cost more than			\$56,700,426	\$53,673,090	\$19,872,426
	net profit after 2	0 years					

The high-rise developer would have to pay 58% of the net profit in ground rent to protect against trebles, or \$12,197,400. But this is hardly the final answer. Suppose construction takes two years. During those two years, how much ground rent should be paid?

Switch the radio button from "Hostile Takeover" to "Demolition and New Construction." Three new fields appear - "New Construction Value," "Construction Cost/Month," and "Construction Months Remaining."

Not only are all the construction costs remaining opportunity costs for the trebler, but the rents paid during construction are also opportunity costs. The latter is added to the Sunk Structure Cost, while the former is added to the Opportunity Cost of the Negative Balances total at the bottom of the column.

The lowest rent occurs after demolition, 32% of expected future profits—why rent is slightly lower just after demolition is explained below.

	Avoiding a Hostile Takeov	er or Safe Demoliti	on Treble				
	Estimated Future NEBR		O Hostile Takeover	Sunk Structure Co	st always equals		
	(Net Earnings Before Rent)		Demolition and New Construction	discounted oppor	tunity cost in any		
	Future Risk of Failure	1%		given year			
	Percent of Profits for Rent	32%		Sunk Structure Cost	\$37,401,180		
	Interest Rate	5%	Caution: Lower into	erest rates require	2	Construction Cost/Month	\$4,625,000
	Existing Structure Value	\$798,000	higher gro	und rents!	Constr	uction Months Remaining:	24
	New Construction Value	\$0					
						Profit After Rent, Risk	
		Cost of Failure	Trebled Rent at 32%	Interest on 1-Year	Discounted Risk,	and Advance Rent	Opportunity Cost of
Year	Discounted Profit at 5%	Risk at 1%	net profit	Advance Rent	Rent and Costs	Interest	Negative Balances
0	\$21,030,000		·				
1	\$20,028,571	\$210,300	\$18,169,920	\$908,496	\$18,169,920	\$1,858,651	\$0
2	\$19,074,830	\$418,497	\$14,535,936	\$726,797	\$13,843,749	\$5,231,081	\$0
3	\$18,166,505	\$624,612	\$11,628,749	\$581,437	\$10,547,618	\$7,618,887	\$0
4	\$17,301,433	\$828,666	\$9,302,999	\$465,150	\$8,036,280	\$9,265,153	\$(
5	\$16,477,555	\$1,030,679	\$7,442,399	\$372,120	\$6,122,880	\$10,354,675	\$0
6	\$15,692,910	\$1,230,672	\$6,729,600	\$336,480	\$5,272,818	\$10,420,092	\$0
7	\$14,945,628	\$1,428,666	\$6,729,600	\$336,480	\$5,021,731	\$9,923,897	\$(
8	\$14,233,932	\$1,624,679	\$6,729,600	\$336,480	\$4,782,601	\$9,451,331	\$1
9	\$13,556,126	\$1,818,732	\$6,729,600	\$336,480	\$4,554,858	\$9,001,267	\$1
10	\$12,910,596	\$2,010,845	\$6,729,600	\$336,480	\$4,337,960	\$8,572,636	\$I
11	\$12,295,805	\$2,201,037	\$6,729,600	\$336,480	\$4,131,391	\$8,164,415	\$I
12	\$11,710,291	\$2,389,326	\$6,729,600	\$336,480	\$3,934,658	\$7,775,633	\$(
13	\$11,152,658	\$2,575,733	\$6,729,600	\$336,480	\$3,747,293	\$7,405,365	\$(
14	\$10,621,579	\$2,760,276	\$6,729,600	\$336,480	\$3,568,851	\$7,052,728	\$(
15	\$10,115,790	\$2,942,973	\$6,729,600	\$336,480	\$3,398,905	\$6,716,884	\$0
16	\$9,634,085	\$3,123,843	\$6,729,600	\$336,480	\$3,237,053	\$6,397,033	\$0
17	\$9,175,319	\$3,302,905	\$6,729,600	\$336,480	\$3,082,907	\$6,092,412	\$0
18	\$8,738,399	\$3,480,176	\$6,729,600	\$336,480	\$2,936,102	\$5,802,297	\$0
19	\$8,322,285	\$3,655,674	\$6,729,600	\$336,480	\$2,796,288	\$5,525,997	\$0
20	\$7,925,986	\$3,829,417	\$6,729,600	\$336,480	\$4,106,398	\$3,819,588	\$0
					Opportunity Cost	Net Profit	
Γotal	tal SAFE! Because opportunity cost more than				\$148,401,180	\$146,450,023	\$111,000,000
	net profit after 2	0 years					

All reasonable construction costs become New Construction Value throughout development to protect the developer. In this case, development has yet to start. The \$798,000 in Existing Structure Value is described next.

Demolition is treated as an improvement equal to the old structure value plus any premium paid. This depreciates throughout new development and becomes zero when the high-rise is completed. It depreciates by 3% annually for vacant land and disappears if land is abandoned.

Trebling and demolition, accompanied by a failure to raise the rent to around 32% of the ground rent paid by the most efficient user of the land, will lead to the booby prize of 133% of 133% of the demolished structure value, or 177% of the demolished structure value. Demolished structures previously purchased without a premium will pay a total of only 133% of the demolished structure value on a vacant land treble. The \$798,000 under the existing structure value includes the \$600,000 demolished structure value and the premium paid. The additional 33% is applied to the Sunk Structure Cost, which also holds the far more significant projected rent for the construction period.

Next, consider the rent halfway through construction:

	Avoiding a Hostile Takeov	er or Safe Demolit	ion Treble				
			O Hostile Takeover Sunk Structure Co		st always equals		
	(Net Earnings Before Rent)		Demolition and New Construction	discounted opport	unity cost in any		
	Future Risk of Failure		Construction	given year			
	Percent of Profits for Rent	44%		Sunk Structure Cost	\$43,829,310		
	Interest Rate 5% Caution: Lower int		terest rates require 2		Construction Cost/Month	\$4,625,000	
	Existing Structure Value \$399,000 higher		higher gro	und rents!	Construction Months Remainin		12
	New Construction Value	\$55,500,000					
						Profit After Rent, Risk	
		Cost of Failure	Trebled Rent at 44%	Interest on 1-Year	Discounted Risk,	and Advance Rent	Opportunity Cost of
Year	Discounted Profit at 5%	Risk at 1%	net profit	Advance Rent	Rent and Costs	Interest	Negative Balances
O	\$21,030,000						
1	\$20,028,571	\$210,300	\$24,983,640	\$1,249,182	\$24,983,640	(\$4,955,069)	\$4,955,069
2	\$19,074,830	\$418,497	\$19,986,912	\$999,346	\$19,035,154	\$39,676	\$0
3	\$18,166,505	\$624,612	\$15,989,530	\$799,476	\$14,502,975	\$3,663,530	\$0
4	\$17,301,433	\$828,666	\$12,791,624	\$639,581	\$11,049,885	\$6,251,548	\$0
5	\$16,477,555	\$1,030,679	\$10,233,299	\$511,665	\$8,418,960	\$8,058,595	\$0
6	\$15,692,910	\$1,230,672	\$9,253,200	\$462,660	\$7,250,124	\$8,442,785	\$0
7	\$14,945,628	\$1,428,666	\$9,253,200	\$462,660	\$6,904,880	\$8,040,748	\$0
8	\$14,233,932	\$1,624,679	\$9,253,200	\$462,660	\$6,576,076	\$7,657,855	\$0
9	\$13,556,126	\$1,818,732	\$9,253,200	\$462,660	\$6,262,930	\$7,293,196	\$0
10	\$12,910,596	\$2,010,845	\$9,253,200	\$462,660	\$5,964,695	\$6,945,900	\$0
11	\$12,295,805	\$2,201,037	\$9,253,200	\$462,660	\$5,680,662	\$6,615,143	\$0
12	\$11,710,291	\$2,389,326	\$9,253,200	\$462,660	\$5,410,154	\$6,300,137	\$0
13	\$11,152,658	\$2,575,733	\$9,253,200	\$462,660	\$5,152,528	\$6,000,130	\$0
14	\$10,621,579	\$2,760,276	\$9,253,200	\$462,660	\$4,907,170	\$5,714,410	\$0
15	\$10,115,790	\$2,942,973	\$9,253,200	\$462,660	\$4,673,495	\$5,442,295	\$0
16	\$9,634,085	\$3,123,843	\$9,253,200	\$462,660	\$4,450,947	\$5,183,138	\$0
17	\$9,175,319	\$3,302,905	\$9,253,200	\$462,660	\$4,238,998	\$4,936,322	\$0
18	\$8,738,399	\$3,480,176	\$9,253,200	\$462,660	\$4,037,141	\$4,701,259	\$0
19	\$8,322,285	\$3,655,674	\$9,253,200	\$462,660	\$3,844,896	\$4,477,389	\$0
20	\$7,925,986	\$3,829,417	\$9,253,200	\$462,660	\$5,105,072	\$2,820,913	\$0
					Opportunity Cost	Net Profit	
Total	SAFE! Because opportunity cost more than				\$104,284,379	\$103,629,900	\$60,455,069
	net profit after 2	0 years					

Rent has increased from 32% to 44% of expected net profits. The developer has lost 50% of the improvement from the demolition of the hardware store (\$798,000 down to \$399,000), which pays 177% on a treble, and has \$55.5 million of new construction that pays 133% on a treble. The number of construction months remaining has dropped from 24 to 12.

As construction is completed, the rent will approach the 58% needed to prevent a hostile takeover. Because <u>rents fall by default</u>, the <u>advance rent account</u> should be updated at the start of each construction month.

The featured spreadsheet is a guide. Developers should develop their own tools, considering the guidelines presented here.